The Fallacy of Cancer "Vaccines"

The *US News and World Report* cover story titled "The World's First Cancer Vaccines," April 3, 2006, pages 56-63, is misleading, significantly inaccurate, and gives a false sense of security to women against contracting cervical cancer. Like most of the articles, the truth is placed at the end of the article where fewer people read it.

** They said... **

"A prick against the skin of her upper arm, a quick injection, and Janelle Kitson **was protected against** a scourge of women; c**ervical cancer**."

"Kitson now has a good chance to avoid that fate [cervical cancer]. **Two types of this virus [HPV] cause 70 percent** [70%] of **all cervical cancers**. Remarkably, the vaccine **prevents infection** from these two types **100 percent of the time.**" (emphasis added)

Life-Systems Engineering Science Commentary: After reading this you are led to believe that the vaccine will stop cervical cancer 100% of the time in the 70% of cases from HPV – an amazing feat. Nothing could be further from the truth. You will discover below, first, that this "news" is hugely misleading, because over <u>99.9%</u> of women with the HPV virus DON'T get cervical cancer, and second, that HPV clears up on its own 90% of the time with no treatment required.

** The Truth... **

(from *The Hidden Story of Cancer*)

Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) and Cervical Cancer. Unfortunately, even after more than 47 years since first publication, Dr. Warburg's warnings have gone unheeded by researchers. They *still* don't get it! In 2003, it was published that the human papilloma virus (HPV) was a major cause of cervical cancer (*New York Times*, "Untangling a Web of Cancer Controversies," March 11, 2003, page D8.) In spite of Dr. Warburg's emphatic warnings, again we have a highly misleading statement. This supposed "viral cause" is *not* the direct cause of the cancer. Again, in 2006, The *US News* article regurgitated the same information years later.

Apparently even known by the researchers and published in the 2003 article, is in their statement, "There may be circumstances where we'll have to go even further than this particular vaccine to get full benefit." If the vaccine completely prevented the occurrence of cervical cancer, then nothing more should be required – why should they "have to go further"? Polio is able to be prevented with a single vaccine injection. Nothing more is required because, in this case, the polio virus is actually the direct cause of the polio disease. However, a virus is *not* the primary cause of a cancer as you have already discovered in *The Hidden Story of Cancer* (Pinnacle Press).

In an even more blatantly feeble attempt at attributing cervical cancer to the HPV virus, an August 2003 London article from Reuters, titled "Women Unaware of Viral Link to Cervical Cancer," made the following contradictory statements:

"LONDON (Reuters) Aug 05 – Many women are unaware that **a virus is the main cause of cervical cancer** or that birth control pills offer no protection against it, according to a survey released on Tuesday.

"The human papilloma virus (HPV) **is linked with** about 95% of cervical cancer cases and is one of the most common causes worldwide of sexually transmitted disease.

"Although almost all women with cervical cancer have HPV, studies have shown that **only a small percentage with HPV develop cervical cancer**."¹ (Emphasis added.) (1)

The following is from the "Planned Parenthood" website and they do tell women the truth:

"Even though HPV is considered a cause of cervical cancer, **only one out of 1,000 women**, **less than 0.1% with HPV develops invasive cervical cancer** (ACOG – the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2000). **Most HPV infection never leads to the development of cervical cancer** – even in the absence of medical intervention – and treating precancerous cervical lesions detected by Pap tests has greatly reduced the rate of invasive cervical cancer (Ho, et al., 1998; NCI, 1999a). **HPV** appears to be necessary, but **not sufficient, to the development of cervical cancer**." (2)

We must point out here that it is ridiculous to state that cervical cancer is caused by the HPV virus because, as the article also points out, **MOST women with the virus DON'T get cervical cancer – only an insignificantly small percentage do – less than 0.1%!** This is the same misuse of statistical analysis, a non-sequitur, that the proponents to ban smoking make. (We saw this in the section "Smoking and Cancer – It's NOT What You Think" on page 195 of *The Hidden Story of Cancer*).

With such a small percentage it cannot, therefore, be correctly attributed as the cause; the article presents completely contradictory information. Also take note that the HPV virus simply being "linked" with 95% of cervical cancer cases does NOT prove it is the cause. A simple association (the link) is worthless as proof of a cause, particularly when *most women with the virus never get the cancer*!

The 2006 article states that in 6,000 women who got the HPV vaccine none contracted the virus. However, in another 6,000 women who didn't get the vaccine **ONLY 21 contracted the virus – 0.35% a very small number**.

Women were highly misled by sensational headlines like the article titled "Vaccine Prevents Cervical Cancer," by Rita Rubin on the front page of *USA Today* dated Friday, October 7, 2005. The truth is buried in the following paragraph:

"...The vaccine, called Gardasil, targets human papilloma virus (HPV) types 16 and 18,

thought to cause 70% of cervical cancers, and HPV types 6 and 11, *associated with* 90% of genital warts cases. Up to 70% of sexually active women will become infected with **HPV**, **which** *clears up on its own* **more than** 9 **times out** of 10..." (emphasis added)

Therefore, only 1 in 10 of all women who have ever contracted HPV maintain the virus for significant lengths of time because it clears up on its own AND since ONLY 1 in 1,000 women with HPV go on to contract cervical cancer, the HPV "anti-virus" shots, even if 100% effective, would "protect" a mere 1 in 10,000 women from cervical cancer GIVEN that they have contracted HPV. Does a success rate of 1 out of 10,000 sound significant enough to state the cause of cervical cancer is prevented?

The *New York Times* article by Denise Grady on page A12, October 7, 2005, titled "Vaccine Prevents Most Cervical Cancer," is more factual. It states:

"...But some women in the **vaccinated group DID DEVELOP precancerous cells by other HPV types** [not type 16 or 18]; the company [Merck] did not disclose how many..." (emphasis added)

Lastly is the fact that cancer's *prime* cause is a lowered immune system. With a lowered immune system, would we expect to contract illnesses other than cancer? Yes. Contraction of HPV and other viral ailments would certainly be increased by a lowered immune system. Therefore, one MUST ASK the question: Did HPV occur AFTER cancer was contracted? Since cancer takes decades to occur in humans before it is diagnosed, there is a significant possibility that HPV is contracted in tissue other than the cervix before cancer is diagnosed anywhere in the body. The researchers can have it backwards and not know it.

Add this mistake to the fact that 90% of HPV clears up and its own and the "miraculous" cancer vaccines become quite pale.

The US News and World Report story interviewed physicians who were MUCH LESS ENTHUSIASTIC:

• Regarding other vaccines to help pancreatic cancer, etc. Dr. Mario Sznol, a medical oncologist at Yale states, "A lot of these vaccines trials are small. This means some **positive findings could simply be due to chance**."

Dr. Steven Rosenberg, chief of the surgery branch at National Cancer Institute states, "**There's been a lot of hype** here. Most of these **trials don't show tumor shrinkage**." (emphasis added)

The Professor's NEWSFLASH!

Ladies: Don't be fooled by the full-page advertisements from pharmaceutical companies stating that "almost all cervical cancers are *caused* by a virus-the human papilloma virus (HPV)." At the bottom of the advertisement, in the fine-print, you will see the "weasel words" *associated with*. The pharmaceutical companies know that the virus is not the prime cause of cervical cancer just like smoking isn't the prime cause of lung cancer, as explain in *The Hidden Story of Cancer*

- 1. Sex Transm Infect; 79:320-322, 2003.
- 2. Ref: http://www.plannedparenthood.org/pp2/portal/files/portal/medicalinfo/sti/fact-HPV-virus.xml

If you have any questions of comments about this month's newsletter please e-mail the professor at: info@brianpeskin.com

This Month's Low-Carb Recipe: Marinated Red Cabbage Slaw

Ingredients

1 head red cabbage, cored and sliced thin
1 medium onion, sliced thin
1 tsp. salt
1/2 tsp. freshly-ground black pepper
1 tsp. celery seed
1 Tbl. dry mustard
1 Tbl. sugar
1/2 cup extra virgin olive oil (organic is best, can also substitute organic sunflower, or other mild oil)
3/4 cup sherry wine vinegar or champagne vinegar

Preparation

- 1. Toss the cabbage and onion in a plastic or noncorrosive pan or bowl.
- 2. Heat all other ingredients in a saucepan over high heat to boiling.
- 3. Carefully pour the mixture over the cabbage and onion slices.
- 4. Cover and refrigerate for at least two hours so the dressing permeates the vegetables.
- 5. Serve cold.

To view archived issues of The Science NOT Opinion eNewsletter go to: http://www.professornutrition.com/ newsletter-archive.htm. (PDF Format)